



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/823,229	04/12/2004	Toru Takeuchi	52433/760	4629
7590 KENYON & KENYON One Broadway New York, NY 10004		08/10/2007	EXAMINER CHAPMAN, JEANETTE E	
			ART UNIT 3635	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 08/10/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/823,229

Applicant(s)

TAKEUCHI ET AL.

Examiner

Chapman E. Jeanette

Art Unit

3635

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/19/07.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 8,9 and 14-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 8,9 and 14-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 8 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The webs and the web lack positive antecedents in claim 8

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8-9 and 14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Byfield et al (6754992) in view of Matsuo et al (4905436) and Beauvoir.

Byfield et al discloses a column beam join structure fabricated by

- connecting flanges 4 of upper and lower split tees 3 to a steel column 1/2 using bolts 11
- by engaging the engaging and connecting webs 1/2 of the tees 3 of the ends of the flanges of the steel beam using bolts or
- by engaging and connecting the upper and lower flanges of a steel beam between the webs of the upper and lower split tees using bolts

Art Unit: 3635

- Beauvoir discloses the upper limit of the yield stress of 50ksi of the steel material used for either one or both web and flange of the slit tee 10 is defined not to be more than the lower limit of 36ksi thereof; see column 5, lines 1-7
- at a portion where both ends of the flange of the split tee are connected to the steel column using bolts
- both the upper and lower split tee has a shape wherein the cross section area of the flange is partially reduced

Byfield et al includes the same recited structure as that of the prior art thus capable of having the upper limit of the yield stress of 50ksi of the steel material used for either one or both web and flange of the split tee 3 is defined not to be more than the lower limit of 36ksi thereof as much as applicant's invention having the same limitations.

Byfield discloses the web of the split tee has an extended direction parallel to the longitudinal direction parallel to the longitudinal direction of the steel beam but lacks the space keeping members are inserted between the flange of the split tee and the steel column and the flange of the split tee and the steel column are connected in the state of maintaining space between the flange and the split tee. Matsuo et al discloses a steel column and a split tee 32 with a connecting flange 3 connecting to a steel column 1. Matsuo et al discloses space keeping members 9 are inserted between the flange of the split tee 32 and the steel column 1. Matsuo also discloses connecting a pair of flanges of upper and lower tees to a steel column using bolts 4 and 5 and by molding

Art Unit: 3635

concrete slab 14 to both the upper and lower flanges of the steel beam 2. See figures 15 and 16. Hence, in modifying Byfield in view of Beauvior and Matsuo, the yield stress of the steel material used for the flange of the split tee, to which the flange(upper) of the concrete slab has been molded and connected is higher than the upper limit of the yield stress of the steel material used for the flange of the other, lower split tee. Further, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Byfield et al to include the space members 9 and concrete to reinforce and strengthen the beam to column structure as shown by Matsuo et al..

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 8-9 and 14-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chapman E Jeanette whose telephone number is 571-272-6841. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri, 8:30-6:00, every other fri. off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Chilcot can be reached on 571-272-6777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3635

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free)


JEANETTE CHAPMAN
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER
ART UNIT 3635

jec